

1
2
3
4
5
6 **United States District Court**
7 **Central District of California**
8

9 ROAR, LLC, a California limited liability
10 company

11 **Plaintiff,**

12 **v.**

13 ROAR GLOBAL LIMITED, a United
14 Kingdom entity of unknown form, d/b/a
15 ROAR GLOBAL; and DOES 1 through
16 10, inclusive,

17 **Defendants.**
18

Case No. 2:15-CV-05865-ODW(AFM)

JUDGMENT

19 On August 4, 2015, Plaintiff ROAR, LLC, filed this action against Defendant
20 ROAR GLOBAL LIMITED for trademark infringement. (ECF No. 1.) On October
21 13, 2016 the Clerk of Court entered a default against Defendant. (ECF No. 44.) On
22 December 5, 2016, this Court granted Plaintiff's Application for Default Judgment
23 against Defendant. (ECF No. 47.)

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 In accordance with that Order, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and**
2 **DECREED** as follows:

3 1. Defendant ROAR GLOBAL LIMITED is liable for Plaintiff's claims of
4 trademark infringement;

5 2. Plaintiff is hereby awarded a permanent injunction. That permanent
6 injunction reads as follows:

7
8 "Defendants, and each of its owners, officer, directors, servants,
9 employees, attorneys, agents, representatives, and all persons in active
concert of participation with them" from:

10 (A) using, promoting, displaying, or otherwise marketing goods or
11 services under, the trademark ROAR or any confusingly similar variation
12 thereof, including, without limitation, ROAR GLOBAL (collectively, the
13 "Trademark" or "Mark"), in connection with talent management services,
14 brand promotion and management, the production and promotion of
15 feature films, television content, musical recordings, and live events, and
any services or products related thereto;

16 (B) using, promoting, or displaying the Trademark on the Internet,
17 including on any website or social media application (including, by way
18 of example only, Twitter and Facebook); and

19 (C) interfering with in any way, either directly or indirectly, Plaintiff's
20 use, registration, marketing, expansion, enforcement, and exploitation of
the Trademark.

21
22 3. Plaintiff is also hereby awarded \$71,262 in attorneys' fees.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 December 5, 2016

25
26 
27 _____
28 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE